

## THE WASHINGTON HERALD

Published Every Morning in the Year by  
THE WASHINGTON HERALD COMPANY.

Publication Office:  
724 FIFTEENTH STREET NORTHWEST.

Entered as second-class matter, October 3, 1893, at the post-office at Washington, D. C., under act of Congress of March 3, 1879.

SCOTT C. BONE, Editor.

Telephone Main 3300. (Private Branch Exchange.)

Subscription Rates by Carrier or Mail.  
Daily and Sunday.....\$5.00 per month.  
Daily and Sunday.....\$15.00 per quarter.  
Daily, without Sunday.....\$3.00 per month.  
Daily, without Sunday.....\$9.00 per quarter.

No attention will be paid to anonymous contributions, and no communications to the editor will be printed except over the name of the writer.

Manuscripts offered for publication will be returned if unavailable, but stamps should be sent with the manuscript for that purpose.

All communications intended for this newspaper, whether for the daily or the Sunday issue, should be addressed to THE WASHINGTON HERALD.

New York Representative, SMITH-WILBERDING  
SPECIAL AGENT, Tribune Building.  
Chicago Representative, CHARLES A. BARNARD,  
Boyes Building.

SUNDAY, MARCH 1, 1908.

## A Welcome for Wu.

The inimitable Dr. Wu Ting-fang is expected in Washington within a fortnight as Chinese Minister to the United States. He added to the gaiety of nations, if not to the serenity of the State Department, when previously here, and all who love a dash of color upon the somber background of statecraft will welcome the picturesque and irrepressible mandarin.

It is likely, though, that Washington will find Dr. Wu a different creature. In the interval, he has had chastening experiences at the hands of Pekin officials—so chastening, indeed, that he found it expedient to resign his posts in order to visit the graves of his ancestors, which seemed to be inexplicably placed. A realization of the vigilance and severity of the powers above him has come to this talented diplomat. Moreover, he knows full well that China is now in her hour of crisis, and that a strong, steady, and sober head is needed on the shoulders of every one of her foreign ministers.

With this realization, Minister Wu will, we hope, prove to be the man for the place. He now knows his own land and its present peculiar conditions. He also knows, to a rare degree, the genius of America. If he takes himself as seriously as is now expected, he will find himself heartily welcomed by the American people. That he brings with him a company of Chinese students to be educated here augurs well for his sense of what China most needs from America, and of what America can best do for China.

Senator "Jeff" Davis, evidently believing it a Senator's highest calling to regulate the politics of his own State, merely gives an occasional war whoop in the Senate to keep the octopus scared.

## The Expert Alienist in Politics.

Even science, falsely so-called, has been enlisted in the attempt to break down the prestige and injure the reputation of President Roosevelt. In the March number of the North American Review there appears an ingenious article by Allan McLellan Hamilton, an eminent New York alienist, in which it is sought by skillful innuendo to convey the impression of expert opinion, guided by modern acquaintance with subtle forms of mental disorder, would be compelled to pronounce Mr. Roosevelt neither sane nor sane. From Dr. Hamilton's discussion of the characteristics of "Psychopathic Rulers," we quote these pertinent (or impertinent) extracts:

"His ideas may be high enough, and he may have a conscientiousness, a stability in all their plans, and a desire of consistency in what they say and do. They are obsessed by the caresses both of loquacity and scribbles, and the literature of the issue furnishes us with striking examples of verbiage. Specimens of the writings of the expansive mania are not only redundant in inflated phrases and florid rhetoric, but in energetic invective as well.

"A preternaturally active and disorderly mind is ready to take hints which it amplifies and makes its own.

"The investiture of power in an unstable person is likely to lead to an abuse of privilege, and a quasi-delusional assumption of the right to regulate in an arbitrary way the affairs of a great nation with a total disregard of individual rights."

Dr. Hamilton warns us against a new danger in republican governments, that of choosing Presidents regarding whose "mental health little or nothing is known." We are careful to examine into the political soundness of candidates for the Presidency, but, says this eminent insanity expert, "no proper or adequate attempt has ever been made to analyze the mental integrity of Presidential or other nominees, or to question for a moment the existence of any bad heredity or any previously expressed trouble, which, under the prod and stress of newly acquired power and great responsibility, may develop into a psychosis." We regret that the learned doctor did not bring forward a definite project for putting into effect this vital and salutary reform. Would he have Congress provide that candidates for the Presidency shall appear before a board of psychiatrists for examination as to their mental health? Would he institute proceedings de lunatico inquiring to determine the sanity of a man who persists in becoming a candidate for two successive defeats, and in spite of two successive defeats, and who has a fixed idea that he can be elected? Would he have an investigation made of the allegation that Gov. Hughes is crazy because he doesn't have any truck with politicians? Would he have some other Presidential candidates we might mention called upon to prove that they are not bereft of their senses when they imagine themselves fit for the Executive office?

It is difficult to say which is the more amazing quality of Dr. Hamilton's article, its malignancy or its absurdity. Most of it, in fact, is simply scientific nonsense. Lombroso, the credulous Italian criminologist, whom Dr. Hamilton quotes as proving, has, in his best-known work, convicted every man of genius of some abnormality. His thesis is that human greatness, in all its forms, is inseparable from mental disorder of some sort. If

that is true, mental disorder has contributed mightily to the making of history and the onward march of civilization. But it will not do to take Lombroso and his school too seriously, nor to imagine that an expert alienist, ready to imagine on either side of a difficult case, voices the sober conclusions of science in a realm where exactitude is impossible. Even the layman may note that every characteristic Dr. Hamilton adduces as evidence of a mind diseased is exhibited by thousands of perfectly sane and normal persons. As to the mental soundness of these persons, the popular judgment is quite as accurate as that of any expert; and we have no idea, judging from the current reputation of insanity experts, that the American people are yet in need of their services to aid in the selection of executive officers.

The nation which raised to the Chief Magistracy the brave but suspicious and choleric Jackson and the mystic and melancholy Lincoln committed no blunder when it chose for a great work the impassioned crusader Roosevelt. The verdict of history upon that work and his manner of doing it will not be pronounced by alienists.

That German lottery agent who was sentenced to pay a fine of \$2,000,000 and serve 1,400 years in jail must feel as if the judge were seeking to hand him the capital prize on the wrong side of the ledger.

## Service Pay Increase.

What has become of the Dick-Capron bill for the increase of pay of the commissioned personnel and enlisted force, active and retired, of the army, navy, Marine Corps, and Revenue Cutter Service? That was the measure which was introduced by the President upon the recommendation of a board representing the three executive departments under which serve the four branches destined for benefit. It was recognized as a bill at once just, complete, and conservative. It was introduced in the House by Representative Capron and in the Senate by Senator Dick, among the first bills to be presented at the beginning of the Sixtieth Congress.

In the Senate it has been referred to the Naval Committee of that body, and another bill has been introduced by Senator Warren, and is now before the Military Committee, applying to the army the provision of increase of pay, and only to the other services by virtue of the existing law, which extends "army pay" to the Marine Corps, Revenue Cutter Service, and most of the navy. In the House the Dick-Capron measure has been considered within a week in the Naval Committee. There has been incorporated in the army appropriation bill a clause, first ruled out on a point of order and since adopted as an amendment, giving stipulated increases in pay to most of the enlisted men of the active list.

Thus has the Dick-Capron bill, regarded as an administration measure and above reproach and beyond improvement, suffered at the hands of the legislators. No one may safely predict what will come of all the agitation. It is quite evident that it will, in the end, be a legislative patchwork, more or less completely beneficial. A part of the confusion is due to the attempt made by certain army officers to adjust inequalities which ought to be corrected but which may very well be passed over in a common necessity of relief. It too often occurs that legislation greatly needed is defeated by these service squabblers and a bill to get more out of Congress when something less would be sufficient. This condition has so often blocked the way of legislation that Congressmen have come habitually to say to the applicants for legislation that when the beneficiaries are able to agree among themselves upon what they want Congress will act. If the pending service-pay increase proposition has suffered on account of any influence, it is the interference with the cause by service people.

Aside from these untoward circumstances, the duty of Congress is still plain. Something should be done to increase the pay of officers and men of the military branches, and this upon some basis which is equitable, and which disregards those features which, however meritorious, are not strictly in the line of a simple and sufficient increase of pay.

"Now it is Senator Owen, of Oklahoma, who butts through the antiquated custom of our House of Lords and delivers a long speech before his seat has become warm by occupancy," notes the Norfolk Virginian-Pilot. But with this marked and not always accompanying addition, he said something worth while when he did "butt through."

## Another Richmond in the Field.

Judge Frank L. Curry, of Butts County, Georgia, is another warrior bold with words of gold who swears by the nine gods at once, and all in the same breath. That the star-eyed Goddess of Reform should not bite the dust in his halliwick—not if the court knows himself, and "he think he do."

Judge Curry, of Butts, wants to go to the State Senate. Within the next few months he will be a senator.

"The investiture of power in an unstable person is likely to lead to an abuse of privilege, and a quasi-delusional assumption of the right to regulate in an arbitrary way the affairs of a great nation with a total disregard of individual rights."

Dr. Hamilton warns us against a new danger in republican governments, that of choosing Presidents regarding whose "mental health little or nothing is known." We are careful to examine into the political soundness of candidates for the Presidency, but, says this eminent insanity expert, "no proper or adequate attempt has ever been made to analyze the mental integrity of Presidential or other nominees, or to question for a moment the existence of any bad heredity or any previously expressed trouble, which, under the prod and stress of newly acquired power and great responsibility, may develop into a psychosis." We regret that the learned doctor did not bring forward a definite project for putting into effect this vital and salutary reform. Would he have Congress provide that candidates for the Presidency shall appear before a board of psychiatrists for examination as to their mental health? Would he institute proceedings de lunatico inquiring to determine the sanity of a man who persists in becoming a candidate for two successive defeats, and in spite of two successive defeats, and who has a fixed idea that he can be elected? Would he have an investigation made of the allegation that Gov. Hughes is crazy because he doesn't have any truck with politicians? Would he have some other Presidential candidates we might mention called upon to prove that they are not bereft of their senses when they imagine themselves fit for the Executive office?

It is difficult to say which is the more amazing quality of Dr. Hamilton's article, its malignancy or its absurdity. Most of it, in fact, is simply scientific nonsense. Lombroso, the credulous Italian criminologist, whom Dr. Hamilton quotes as proving, has, in his best-known work, convicted every man of genius of some abnormality. His thesis is that human greatness, in all its forms, is inseparable from mental disorder of some sort. If

that is true, mental disorder has contributed mightily to the making of history and the onward march of civilization. But it will not do to take Lombroso and his school too seriously, nor to imagine that an expert alienist, ready to imagine on either side of a difficult case, voices the sober conclusions of science in a realm where exactitude is impossible. Even the layman may note that every characteristic Dr. Hamilton adduces as evidence of a mind diseased is exhibited by thousands of perfectly sane and normal persons. As to the mental soundness of these persons, the popular judgment is quite as accurate as that of any expert; and we have no idea, judging from the current reputation of insanity experts, that the American people are yet in need of their services to aid in the selection of executive officers.

The nation which raised to the Chief Magistracy the brave but suspicious and choleric Jackson and the mystic and melancholy Lincoln committed no blunder when it chose for a great work the impassioned crusader Roosevelt. The verdict of history upon that work and his manner of doing it will not be pronounced by alienists.

ger of undying hatred and abhorrence, but he has, at the tail-end of his pronouncement, a final bomb for ranging into every possible straggler; he favors "out-lawing all contracts and notes given for articles sold by trusts or combines, or money loaned by trusts or combines."

What more can the "common people" demand of the Lion of Butts? Nothing, say we! He grudgingly admits there is "some honest wealth" in the country, to be sure, but inasmuch as he diplomatically intimates that that "some" is precious little, we must not regard the seeming ship as of especial consequence. Again, in his climax, he strangely enough, neglects to mention "banks" specifically; but we doubt not he is prepared to prove that the outlawing of notes and contracts in the hands of parties suggested really includes notes and contracts in the hands of any old party—banks and "money sharks" of whatever name or nature, or under whatever disguise they may roam.

On the whole, we shall expect to hear much of Curry, of Butts, as the campaign opens up and progresses. How the patriotic and liberty-loving sons of Jawjaw are going to avoid making his election unanimous, we cannot see—nor do we care to! If there are any more money-makers in the Cracker State other than the theaters and the coco-cola bottle, hit 'em, too, judge. What's the use of "reformism" if we do it right—as the Lion of Butts will do it, for instance?

That New York man who divides the world into two classes—the "caught" and the "suspected"—doubtless refers to his own particular world only.

Another plot against the King of Spain has been discovered. Perhaps, after all, the czar is not far wrong in believing he may as well take chances on being killed for an autocrat as a mollycoddle.

Who can doubt that hard times are upon us, when the wife of a waiter in a well-known New York restaurant complains that she is having to practice the most rigid economy in order to keep within her weekly allowance of \$50 for clothes?

"Radicalism is not and never can be Democracy," says the Jacksonville Times-Union. Perhaps not; but it appears to be pretty good piecemeal, and that counts for a great deal these days.

In Ohio they punish a man for taking a drink on a train, unless the doors of the coach are shut. Evidently, Ohio sets great store by the eleventh commandment, "Thou shalt not get caught."

"What is the feminine of Carrie Nation?" asks the New York Mail. Oh, we don't know—Mr. Carrie Nation, probably; he seems to be "the weaker vessel."

"A Shah in the carriage is worth two in the automobile," remarks the New York Tribune. Or three or four on the bomb.

Don Bustillo has been appointed finance minister of Spain. The question is, Will Bustillo pose as an octopus annihilator or a treasury deflator?

A chorus girl was arrested in St. Louis recently for wearing too many clothes. In the name of Florenz Ziegfeld, what does St. Louis want in that line of diversion?

Nevertheless, Mr. Harriman has not entirely divested all of his peculiar methods of that fishy smell.

"It is claimed that the price of food has gone up in China because of the advance of Christianity," says the Chattanooga Star. H'm! That must be what some Buddhist editor says about it.

Isn't it about time for the ultra-rich and the ultra-poor to form some sort of undesirable citizens' protective association?

A St. Louis drummer claims to have sold fifty carloads of soothing syrup in Texas since January 1. Perhaps that accounts for the surprising quiet of the Houston Post of late.

The governor of Kentucky is going to issue a proclamation against the night riders. That's a good scheme—provided the night riders can be induced to pay any attention to it.

Senator-elect Bradley, of Kentucky, appears to be one of the most successful forlorn-hope leaders in this country.

It is said Emma Goldman will be promptly deported the minute the authorities lay hands on her in this country. This is fortunate for us, but rather rough on some other country.

Of course, if all our Indian Senators go on the warpath at once, somebody is apt to get scalped.

"Somebody has discovered that the Japanese wrote linericks 1,000 years ago," says the Baltimore American. Oh, well, we can forgive them that; they are not writing them now.

February 25 is generally rated extra-super-hazardous to bachelors, but up to the hour of going to press last night, the casualty list hadn't risen above zero.

"I am getting tired of being the Baby McKee of this administration," says Mr. Nicholas Longworth. Perhaps Mr. Longworth isn't the only one that makes tired, either.

The only objection we have to Harry Thaw and his wife separating for the balance of their natural lives is that it is apt to start the Bob Squad going again.

"It pays to be polite," says a headline in Gov. Vardaman's paper, The Issue. Perhaps; but the man who is polite merely for what there is in it by way of pay doesn't amount to much in the long run.

"A grab into the pork barrel is not the first nor the most important duty of a legislator," says the Chicago Post. That's true. Neither is it the last nor least important duty.

Noting the fact that South Carolina will probably adopt a law prohibiting the manufacture and sale of the "friction match," the Cleveland Plain Dealer discusses the idea and says: "Fire underwriters have long agreed that one of the chief causes of the great annual loss by fire has been the friction match."

Al, then, it will be interesting to watch the benevolent Southeastern Tariff Association advocating the reduction of fire insurance premiums in South Carolina when that State shall have abolished the said match!

"Speaking of prohibition, how many are there in Augusta who want a drink and cannot get it?" inquires the Augusta (Ga.) Chronicle. "Speaking of prohibition" in this connection, "speak easy," eh?

## Crane Is Unconcerned.

From the Boston Transcript.

The President has met Gov. Fort, of New Jersey, and announces him a "corker." He once applied the same term to Gov. Crane, of Massachusetts.

Conundrum.

Why is it that all the big fights on the lee trust take place in the winter, and the fights on the coal trust in the summer?

Politics and Pullmans.

From the Charleston News and Courier.

In politics everybody wants an upper berth.

## A SUNDAY TALK.

And when He had called all the people unto Him, He said unto them, Hearken unto Me, every one of you, and understand. There is nothing from without a man that can defile him; but the things which come out of him, these are they that defile the man.

—St. Mark, vi, 14, 15.

The doctrine expressed in the above text, taken from the Gospel according to St. Mark, is a doctrine that has appealed with more or less force to the philosophers and sages of all ages of most religions, but it is a doctrine that needs to be heeded, considered, and thoroughly digested by we of to-day. Mark dwelt upon this—which might be considered largely a question of ethics—with some emphasis, because he was appealing through his gospel to the nation then most grossly materialistic in the world. He was preaching to the Romans, the leaders of the world's civilization, the conquerors of the earth, who were arrogant in their pride, and who had created for themselves gods which they deemed all sufficient. It was therefore quite necessary that besides the narration of the life and miracles of Jesus Christ this apostle should show to the humble Redeemer, the Man who had come to lead the world out of darkness into light, was the propagandist of a system of moral philosophy which would appeal to even their material minds and hearts.

And it is hard to conceive of a saying that would have more instant appeal to the self-sufficient man than that: Nothing from without can defile man; only that which he does himself can defile him.

It has been true, this saying, since the world began and man was made in the image of God. There is not one of us so ignorant but must see how truly this has worked out in the world's history; how men born of noble blood and into fine environments have been by their own actions and delinquency, into the very gutter; how, on the other hand, men born in the gutter, keeping their minds clear and their souls clean; pitching their ideals high and looking always toward the God that made them, have broken the point, out of the pitch that threatened to smother them and have become the leaders of men.

That thought is a comfortable one for every man to hug close to his breast—that, through the infinite mercy of God, our salvation is in our own hands. The matter rests between ourselves and God alone. Nothing from the outside can affect us in the slightest degree. The temptations which we see on every hand, and which may be thought to assail us through those external organs, the eye, the ear, or other senses—these have no effect at all unless from within the heart, with the consent of the soul, with the acquiescence of the conscience the evil that is dormant is called forth to meet temptation half way.

The sins of those about us cannot defile us. That our neighbor is weak and sinful and an evil-liver should not be allowed to pollute our souls, to make any difference to us. Unless, indeed, that difference is for the better; that, recognizing our duty, try to make him better by the force of fine example, high thought, and clean endeavor, and so, through our neighbor's weakness find additional sources of spiritual strength for ourselves.

Out of the heart of man come lies and deceit and lasciviousness and all things that defile a man. Unless these things come from the heart they are outside of a man and make no difference to him; he is willing. Always the power is given to us to say, "Get thee behind me, Satan," and if we will but say it and mean it, resolving to live clean and honest lives we shall find that the power of God, who never tests our strength beyond what we are able to bear, is eternally on our side, and that we are bound to conquer evil in the end.

## BROWNSVILLE VERDICT.

An Interpretation of the Action of the Senate Committee.

From the New York Tribune.

So many Brownsville resolutions were voted on last Tuesday by the Senate Committee on Military Affairs that the net result seems to have mystified not a few commentators. The main issue was presented in both its negative and positive forms, and the vote on one form of presentation differed widely from the vote on another. The most direct opposites were the resolutions offered by Mr. Foraker and by Mr. Lodge. The Ohio Senator submitted his contention that the evidence produced at the trial of the soldiers of the third company stationed at Brownsville had had a hand in "shooting up" the town. This was rejected by 11 votes to 2, Mr. Bulkeley voting with Mr. Foraker. Mr. Lodge's resolution declaring that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison was adopted by 8 votes to 4. Messrs. Foraker, Scott, Hemenway, and Bulkeley opposed Mr. Lodge's resolution. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by some of the soldiers belonging to the garrison. The vote on this phase of the question, wanted to have the declaration of responsibility apply to only one of the three companies in the garrison. On the other hand, Mr. Lodge's resolution declared that the shooting was done by